Report of Public Participation on Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal and Draft Management Plan 25 November 2013 – 20 January 2014

Report One Comments made at the Public Exhibition and during the consultation period



March 2014

#### **Contents**

Introduction Summary of findings of the Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal Publicity

Results from Consultation

- 1. Exhibition
- 2. Consultation

#### **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – Copy of letter to Great Easton Residents Appendix 2 – Great Easton consultation form (the council's standard equalities monitoring forms were also made available)

#### Introduction

This report outlines the responses received from the public exhibition held at Great Easton Village Hall on Wednesday 11 December 5.30 to 8pm and all responses received during the consultation period 25 November 2013 to 20 January 2014.

The Government encourages councils to undertake appraisals of Conservation Areas and as part of an ongoing programme an appraisal of the Great Easton Conservation Area was carried out earlier in 2013. Anyone with an interest in Great Easton was invited to respond to the consultation on the draft Appraisal which was available on line at <a href="http://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa">http://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa</a> or, from 25 November paper copies could be inspected at the Council Offices in Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow Library, the mobile library or from the Great Easton Parish Clerk. Additionally a copy was sent to the parish office for display in the foyer of the Church of St John and St Giles.

Consultees were invited to visit the council's website, read the document and send in responses as to whether all the measures should be included or just a selection of them. For those who could not access the website, consultees could send any comments to the Project Officer at the Council Offices, London Road, Saffron Walden, CB11 4ER by email, letter or fax.

There was also a chance to see details of all the proposed changes and to discuss them with council officers at a public exhibition which was held at Great Easton Village Hall on Wednesday 11 December 5.30 to 8pm.

Following the consultation the District Council will take into account all comments and approve an amended document that will be an important material consideration when processing applications.

**Summary of findings of the Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal** 

The historic core of Great Easton is a high quality environment where the 16 Listed Buildings in the Conservation Area make a significant contribution to its architectural and historical importance. All but two are designated Grade II. The exceptions are the Grade II\* church of St John and St Giles and the Grade II\* property, 'Bridgefoot'. The historic core of the Conservation Area represents groupings of buildings of diverse architectural and historic interest. The presence of an important Scheduled

Ancient Monument, open spaces and mature trees and hedgerows add to its historic and visual qualities.

Several quality non-listed buildings have been identified as worthy of protection. These are as follows: The terrace consisting of The Annex, The Laurels and Ivy House; Meadow View; Grange Barn, Bridgefoot Barn, Nos. 1-3 Maynard's Cottages (including range of outbuildings to rear) and Hillside Cottages.

Trees and hedgerows within the existing Conservation Area play an important function in adding to the high quality and diversity of the environment particularly in the churchyard of the Church of St John and St Giles, the grassed areas to east of the church and fronting Easton Hall, the central green to west of the church and the grassed area/wooded area with paddock between Croys Grange and Bridgefoot. The War Memorial on the central green and the pedestrian bridge over the River Chelmer have also been identified as being important local features.

The general distinctiveness of the Conservation Area, though, is eroded by the presence of utility poles carrying overhead services, particularly in the vicinity of the church, and the future undergrounding of these services is suggested as being desirable. It is further noted that the builder's yard adjacent to Brocks Mead adds little to the local environment. However, the impact of the latter on the Conservation Area is reduced by a frontage hedge.

An amendment to the boundary to the Conservation Area boundary is suggested to remove the area of the builder's yard site adjacent to Brocks Mead.

#### **Publicity**

comments form.

Publicity was carried out to advise all possible respondents of the publication of the Appraisal, the duration of the consultation period, ways of making a response and the details of the public exhibition held in the village.

**Parish Council** - Great Easton Parish Council were notified of the council's intention to appraise the Conservation Area and were supplied with advance notification of the consultation and with a pre-publication copy of the Appraisal report to which they were invited to make an initial response.

**Posters** were distributed around the village and copies were sent to the Parish Council.

**Information letters** were delivered to all properties within the Conservation Area and in any other areas affected by any amendments. A copy is included in Appendix 1.

**Website** – a dedicated page on a marketing url <a href="http://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa">http://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa</a> was created on the council's website from which links were supplied to enable access to pdf and online interactive versions (via the council's Objective consultation portal) of the Appraisal. Summary information on the report was given on the page and links to pdf and Word versions of the

**Direct Mailing** - key consultees on the Council's database (Objective) were emailed advising them of the new consultation event.

**Public exhibition** – a public exhibition was held at Great Village Hall on Wednesday 11 December 5.30 to 8pm.

**Press release** – a press release was issued on 19 November and was subsequently published in local newspapers. It was also available on the council's website and via its Twitter and Facebook pages. A reminder about the public exhibition was issued on 4 December for publication in papers during the week leading up to the public exhibition.

Copies of the Appraisal - The Appraisal document was available online, as noted above, and paper copies could be inspected at the Council Offices in Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow Library, the mobile library or from the Great Easton Parish Clerk. Additionally a copy was sent to the parish office for display in the foyer of the Church of St John and St Giles.

## Results of the consultation on the Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal

A public exhibition was held at Great Easton Village Hall on Wednesday 11 December 5.30 to 8pm and was attended by 21 people. The exhibition was attended by the Council's Conservation Officer and the fieldworker who had undertaken the appraisal. Both were on hand to answer enquiries. Maps, plans, a slideshow of images of important local buildings and copies of the Appraisal were available as were paper copies of the response forms.

The consultation period ran between 25 November 2013 and 20 January 2014 and all responses received during this period are detailed below:

#### **Great Easton Parish Council**

At our meeting last evening we discussed your findings on 'the document', not all of our councillors have had sighting of the document as yet but those who have found that you were complimentary about the village.

The points you raised were discussed at length and we are looking at ways to improve them.

It was mentioned that the 'Bell House' opposite the village green was not mentioned, and some thought it should be but that was the only item which we would bring to your notice.



#### EAST OF ENGLAND OFFICE

Bruce Tice Council Offices London Road Saffron Walden Essex CB11 4ER



Direct Dial: 01223 582724 Direct Fax: 01223 582701

17th January 2014

Dear Mr Tice,

#### Consultation of Great Easton Draft Conservation Area Appraisal

Thank you for inviting us to comment on the Great Easton Draft Conservation Area Appraisal. At English Heritage we welcome up to date assessments and guidance on conservation areas as they assist in an understanding and articulation of the area's character that can be used to develop robust policy framework for planning decisions. It should also provide ways to manage change in a way that conserves and enhances historic areas.

In terms of the general structure the Great Easton Draft Conservation Area Appraisal follows the guidance set out in English Heritage guidance 'Understanding Place: Conservation Area Designation, Appraisal and Management'. The draft is well structured which allows the document to be used without difficulty.

As a general point it would be useful if the council website and addresses for the information that is listed in paragraph 1.25 were supplied in the text. This would allow quicker and easier access for the user.

The section titled *General Character and Setting* gives the reader a good introduction to the geography and character of Great Easton. The River Chelmer plays a key role in the character of the settlement and should be mentioned within this section. The *Origins and Historic Development* section includes lots of interesting and relevant information. However rather than using large sections of quoted text you may wish to paraphrase the information.

The appraisal identifies trees and hedgerows that contribute to the quality of the conservation area. These are also shown on the maps within the Map section of the document, which is very useful. You may wish to take the

BROOKLANDS 24 BROOKLANDS AVENUE CAMBRIDGE CB2 8BU



#### EAST OF ENGLAND OFFICE

opportunity in Paragraph 1.46 where this is introduced to tell the reader that these can be seen on Figure 2 and Figure 3 in the Maps section.

The elements which detract from the conservation area which are discussed in Paragraph 1.51 should also be marked up on the maps to allow the reader greater understanding of where these elements are.

There could also be a heading that considers the traditional building materials and colours which make up the conservation area. This would give a more thorough assessment of the qualities that contribute to the character and appearance of the conservation area. If prevalent materials or qualities are identified it could help shape your management plan and requirements for future developments within the conservation area.

Paragraph 1.70 Important buildings or structures within the curtilages of Listed Buildings describes the structures and walls that contribute to the special interest of the conservation area. This is extremely beneficial in giving a sense of the enclosures and quality of the spaces that make up the conservation area. The photographs also provide a log of the current condition of the walls.

The conservation area is made up of several streets and spaces. It may be helpful to include a more detailed analysis of the spaces within the conservation area; this could be done in the form of a street-by-street analysis or by detailing different areas of character. As the Conservation area is relatively small it may not be beneficial to segment it. However it would be worth considering the different qualities of the areas.

The section entitled Important open spaces includes several areas of green space within the conservation area that contribute to its character and appearance. However it does not include the area of land marked playing field to the north of the River Chelmer. The grassed area which includes a children's playground is and important part of the river's surroundings and should be included as an important open space.

Paragraph 1.86 suggests that the District Council seek to establish the owners intentions for the builder's yard adjacent to Brocks Mead and a programme of improvements and possible additional landscaping be implemented by mutual agreement. However Paragraph 1.91 Suggested boundary changes seeks to remove the builder's yard from the Conservation Area. This would undermine any actions to improve the area and may leave the site vulnerable to inappropriate development.



#### EAST OF ENGLAND OFFICE

One of the actions included in Paragraph 1.92 *Other actions*, is to inform English Heritage that there is an error with the mapping of the listing for 'The Endway'. This should be easily rectified by contacting our designation team.

The Management Plan is generally successful in setting out how to manage the conservation area to retain the qualities that have led to its designation. There are a few minor suggestions we wish to make;

The discussion regarding Article 4 Directions in paragraph 2.9 is beneficial in outlining this additional measure of protection available to high quality features. However the paragraph is somewhat general, you could include suggestions as to what should be covered by Article 4 Directions.

In terms of enhancement opportunities as we have previously highlighted it would be useful to mark any areas or items that you consider opportunities on your maps and refer to the maps in this section of the text.

You could also include a section on new development that outlines the importance of the palette and quality of materials that are used within the conservation area to preserve and enhance its qualities.

Including a section for Maps is key to give the reader a better understanding of the area. Figure 1 – 1877 Ordnance Survey map is quite blurred though and should be amended.

Figure 2 Character Analysis in the Maps section includes lots of key features giving a real sense of the conservation area. In addition to the ones you have included we would recommend that you include any negative views, negative features and areas that provide an opportunity to enhance on the key.

We would like to commend you on the undertaking of this detailed and comprehensive conservation area appraisal. Should you wish to discuss our comments please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely



Janine Dykes

Assistant Inspector of Historic Buildings and Areas E-mail: janine.dykes@english-heritage.org.uk

Date: 24 December 2013

Our ref: 105089

Your ref: Click here to enter text.

Mr Bruce Tice
Uttlesford District Council
Council Offices
London Road
Saffron Walden
Essex
CB11 4ER

BY EMAIL ONLY



Customer Services Hornbeam House Crewe Business Park Electra Way Crewe Cheshire CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

Dear Mr Tice

Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Proposals Consultation

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 25 November 2013 which was received by Natural England on 25 November 2013.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Natural England does not wish to offer any substantive comments in respect of this consultation document, however, other bodies and individuals may make comments that will help the Local Planning Authority (LPA) to fully take account of the environmental value of this area in the decision making process.

Although Natural England does not wish to make any substantive comments, we welcome the reference to Green Spaces under the Planning Policy Framework section – paragraph 1.23 (bullet point 7) together with reference to open spaces under the Character Analysis paragraph 1.47.

Biodiversity and the natural environment can lead to various opportunities, not just for wildlife activity and connection, but also health, recreation, contributing to climate change adaptation and improving quality of life. Natural England encourages the Council through its Local Plans and policies to ensure the borough's green infrastructure is designed to deliver multiple functions.

The Council should also look at the fragmentation of open spaces and the linking of them back to paths and other sites. This would protect and enhance it green spaces and corridors, as well as providing opportunities to link sites and areas, whilst also offering sustainable transport

options through walking and cycling, together with increasing and enhancing the green infrastructure network of the area.

We really value your feedback to help us improve the service we offer. We have attached a feedback form to this letter and welcome any comments you might have about our service.

Yours sincerely

David Hammond Lead Advisor – Land Use



Safe roads, reliable journeys, informed travellers

Our ref: Your ref:

The Planning Policy Team Uttlesford District Council Council Offices London Road Saffron Walden Essex CB11 4ER. Mark Norman

Woodlands Manton Lane Bedford MK41 7LW

Direct Line: 01234 796244 Fax: 01234 796340

6 January 2014

#### Dear Sir

#### **GREAT EASTERN CONSERVATION AREA CONSULTATION**

Thank you for consulting the Highways Agency on this proposal, it is unlikely that this will result in a material impact upon the Strategic Road Network. Therefore we have no comments to make on the proposal.

Yours faithfully



Mark Norman Network Delivery & Development

Email: mark.norman@highways.gsi.gov.uk



#### **Anglian Water**

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Great Easton Conservation Appraisal, however, on this occasion, Anglian Water has no comments to make.

#### A Great Easton resident

My wife and I attended the public exhibition at Great Easton Village Hall on Wednesday 11th Dec.

Our comments are attached.

In our view the historical buildings in this pretty village do need protection.

The question of development in the Pickfords builders yard does concern us as it does not appear to be clear as to whether or not planning permission has been granted. A proliferation of modern flats or houses would not be sympathetic to the Maynard Cottages on other side of the road. The Pickfords site does need clearing up but the two large barns could remain as storage units for hay and straw plus birthing pens for sheep as is the current use.

#### Comment here on the character analysis of Great Easton village:

The presentation was clear and professional. The difference between conservation and grade 2 listed was explained to one resident living in one of the dwellings in the conservation zone.

There is a good case for protecting the non-listed buildings identified in orange on the map.

#### Comment here on the revised conservation area boundary:

The only and main matter of concern to my wife and myself is the proposal to amend the conservation area boundary to remove the large area known as Pickfords builders yard adjacent to Brocks Mead.

The owner of this yard, Mr Pickford told the meeting that he already had planning permission to erect 5 new houses on part of the site leading from the first cul de sac in Brocks Mead but had not gone ahead as he could not find the right builder. The Parish Council Chairman and the Conservation Officer knew nothing of this planning approval. Amendment should not be considered until the situation has been clarified. The village does not need another estate of new houses. The infrastructure will not support more development here. Already there is no mobile phone signal, electricity and phone lines are still overhead and the internet is oversubscribed.

## Comment here on the buildings that make an important architectural or historical contribution to the conservation area:

Clearly the church and houses around the centre of the village reflect the historical background of Great Easton, from Norman times and must be protected from demolition and redevelopment.

The Maynard cottages are part of the appurtenances of the great estate of the Maynard family whose occupancy extended back to 1597.

In my view the village of Great Easton is remarkably well preserved but will need support in the future as the demand for more houses in the area escalates.

#### Comment here on the management proposals:

I agree with the proposals to protect the buildings marked in orange on the map. However I do not agree with the proposal to remove the conservation area boundary from the area known as Pickford's builder's yard.

The development of five houses on the lower part of the site overlooking the field at the back is, according to Mr.Pickford 'already agreed'. Whilst this information was not ratified at the presentation, I would like to see details of Mr Pickford's plan and to ensure that the development is in keeping with the character of the village and to know what he intends to do with the remaining area. High volume housing would not be suitable.

#### Any other comments:

Clean up the Pickfords site and retain the two large barns, one for straw and hay storage and the other as birthing pens for sheep, as is the current use.

#### A Great Easton resident

#### Comment here on the character analysis of Great Easton village:

Great Easton is a very pleasant, small north essex village that currently has a thriving local community with a good pub, a good primary school and a wealth of listed buildings in very good condition and so is a very nice place to live.

The village has a lovely village green and many listed buildings combined with open countryside make views from the village very pleasing.

Please also note that in the last 5 or 6 years the village has grown significantly due to the second stage of development of Brocks mead which has increased the size of the village.

#### Comment here on the revised conservation area boundary:

I note the revised boundary change suggested removes the now redundant builders yard which used to be the base for Pickfords builders.

I do not agree with this suggestion as i believe this is the first step to enable development of this site for more housing in the uttlesford area.

I also note that in para. 1.83 you mention that the builders yard is shielded from the main road through the village by the boundary hedge and so the yard is NOT generally visible to most people coming through the village and therefore not a major negative to the village aesthetics.

If this area is removed from the conservation area then i believe it will soon follow that the village development limits will be changed to allow development of this site for housing.

This then also frees up the whole field behind for further development at some point to which i am opposed.

## Comment here on the buildings that make an important architectural or historical contribution to the conservation area:

I agree that all the listed buildings mentioned in the report form an important contribution to the village.

However i would also suggest that you widen your area appraisal and consider the area into Great Easton from the B184.

The P and A Woods rolls royce site now stands either side of the road into Great Easton in effect 'framing' the entrance to the village.

The high quality of these buildings, i believe contribute positively to the village and have become quite a local feature.

Also in the same area the moat house nursing home/retirement village has seen a massive expansion recently and is continuing to build new units. However despite some cosmetic improvements to the roadsides here, the B184 is still flanked by large overgrown conifers, a totally alien species to essex and the uk and the roadside hedgerows are overgrown and unkempt with overhanging trees just waiting to fall over onto the B184 and cause disruption. Hopefully when all the building here is finished attention will be focussed on improving this area as it does not provide a good first impression.

#### Comment here on the management proposals:

I agree with the management proposals apart from the boundary change to the builders yard.

Also i see that it has not been noted that damage has been happening to the churchyard verge adjoining the road and the village green by vehicles parking on it and damage from vehicles driving over the green to pass traffic with parked cars on the roadside.

#### Any other comments:

I would like to see the views from the village over the Chelmer Valley looking both North and south should be recognised in the appraisal.

#### A Great Easton resident

I have read the Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal Document with interest. Can you tell me what prompted this planned revision of the boundary please?

Does this mean that the area cannot be built upon?
What are considered 'exceptional circumstances?'
Can village development limits be changed as a result of removing them from conservation areas?

Also will an appraisal of Duton Hill and Tilty be done soon?

#### A Great Easton resident

#### Comment here on the character analysis of Great Easton village:

We agree with the analysis of the village put forward by your representative.

#### Comment here on the conservation area boundary:

The revised boundary is perfect

## Comment here on the buildings that make an important architectural or historical contribution to the conservation area:

The buildings you have suggested for conservation are fine – but we don't think that The Annexe should be Grade 2 listed.

#### Comment here on the management proposals:

We agree with the proposals.

#### Any other comments:

As to Pickfords Yard we feel that the recreation ground could be moved onto part of the grounds along with seating and trees, as the site of the present playground often floods. We feel that 5 houses is adequate for the site.

#### A Great Easton resident

#### Comment here on the conservation area boundary:

I would suggest <u>not</u> to remove Pickfords Yard from the conservation area. The area would be a likely area for development in the future and I fear being outside the conservation area would weaken any objections to future development.

## Comment here on the buildings that make an important architectural or historical contribution to the conservation area:

I would appreciate a reference to the former PH in the village – The Bell. This is a listed building (circa 1520) and has played a large part in Gt Easton community life over a long time.

#### A Great Easton resident

Para 1.70 I went round the churchyard wall (outside) and did not see the areas shown as needing repair, though there are a couple of areas where repaired sections are visible. The church committee is active in maintaining the fabric of the church and its associated structures, and there is an ongoing programme of works scheduled

Para 1.91 On the English Heritage website, the property identified as "The Endway" is indeed wrongly plotted. The building (complex) marked on their map is in fact a series of terraced cottages, 1-4 The Endway, comprising 1 The Endway, 2 The Endway, Pear Cottage and Timberlog. Their DESCRIPTION, however, is of a pair of cottages: Buster's Cottage and one whose name I cannot ascertain, situated on the other side of the road, between Stone Cottage and The Garth. You can see the right hand side of Buster's Cottage, with the green door, in your picture 1.8 of Stone Cottage. I feel that both of these sets of cottages should be considered worthy of protection and/or listing.

On the whole this is an excellent report and I wish you all the best in its production. I particularly like the emphasis given to getting the builders' yard sorted out – it was recently bought out by a builder outside the village, who has closed the yard, so it is important to deal with the situation and I think would make an acceptable infill site once it is removed from the conservation area.

#### A Great Easton resident

#### Comment here on the character analysis of Great Easton village:

Seems coherent and well thought out,however there appears to be buildings of historic interest omitted; Blakeys house at the bottom of the hill, on the left is clearly visible in the original surveys; Chapman and Andre map of 1777 but appears to be outside the conservation remit!

#### Comment here on the conservation area boundary:

The current owner should be allowed a pre-agreed time to tidy/ develop/organise his land (maybe into affordable houses for local families/young people with a small community shop, supplied by only locally sourced products) before it is removed completely from the conservation area boundry. I suspect if not it will be snapped up by some developer or other.

## Comment here on the buildings that make an important architectural or historical contribution to the conservation area:

Good to see most of Great Eastons important architectural and historical features mentioned. Does the current school not qualify for this? it was founded in 1759 by the Rebecca Meade trust. I know it isn't on the original girls school site but thought it would nevertheless warrent investigation, I am unsure as to its inclusion on the Chapman and Andres map of 1777 as the section highlighted did not extend to the top of the village.

#### Comment here on the management proposals:

See question 2 and 3 above

**Appendices**Appendix 1 – Copy of letter to Great Easton Residents

## Great Easton conservation area appraisal and draft management proposals consultation



#### Dear Great Easton resident

The Government encourages councils to undertake appraisals of Conservation Areas and one has just been completed for your village. We now need your comments on the Conservation Area appraisal for Great Easton; a consultation on the draft document will be running between 25 November 2013 and 20 January 2014. The document will be available on line at <a href="http://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa">http://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa</a> or, from 25 November, paper copies can be inspected at the Council Offices in Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow Library, the mobile library and the foyer of the Church of St John and St Giles, or from the Great Easton Parish Clerk. The main findings are set out below. There will also be a chance to see details of all the proposed changes and to discuss them with council officers at a public exhibition which will be held at Great Easton Village Hall on Wednesday 11 December 5.30 to 8pm.

The historic core of Great Easton is a high quality environment where the 16 Listed Buildings in the Conservation Area make a significant contribution to its architectural and historical importance. All but two are designated Grade II. The exceptions are the Grade II\* church of St John and St Giles and the Grade II\* property, 'Bridgefoot'. The historic core of the Conservation Area represents groupings of buildings of diverse architectural and historic interest. The presence of an important Scheduled Ancient Monument, open spaces and mature trees and hedgerows add to its historic and visual qualities.

Several quality non-listed buildings have been identified as worthy of protection. These are as follows: The terrace consisting of The Annex, The Laurels and Ivy House; Meadow View; Grange Barn, Bridgefoot Barn, Nos. 1-3 Maynard's Cottages (including range of outbuildings to rear) and Hillside Cottages.

Trees and hedgerows within the existing Conservation Area play an important function in adding to the high quality and diversity of the environment particularly in the churchyard of the Church of St John and St Giles, the grassed areas to east of the church and fronting Easton Hall, the central green to west of the church and the grassed area/wooded area with paddock between Croys Grange and Bridgefoot. The War Memorial on the central green and the pedestrian bridge over the River Chelmer have also been identified as being important local features.

The general distinctiveness of the Conservation Area, though, is eroded by the presence of utility poles carrying overhead services, particularly in the vicinity of the church, and the future undergrounding of these services is suggested as being desirable. It is further noted that the builder's yard adjacent to Brocks Mead adds little to the local environment. However the impact of the latter on the Conservation Area is reduced by a frontage hedge.

An amendment to the boundary to the Conservation Area boundary is suggested to remove the area of the builder's yard site adjacent to Brocks Mead.

Please visit our website, read the document and let us know what you think and whether we should include all the measures or just a selection of them. If you cannot access our website, please send any comments to the Council Offices, London Road, Saffron Walden, CB11 4ER. For further information please call Bruce Tice, our Project Officer, on 01799 510670 or email <a href="mailto:btice@uttlesford.gov.uk">btice@uttlesford.gov.uk</a> who will be pleased to assist and answer any of your queries.

## Great Easton conservation area appraisal and draft management proposals consultation



#### **Uttlesford District Council Official Notification**

Important information on the Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal

For the attention of the property owner

# Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal Public Exhibition

Wednesday 11 December 5.30-8pm Great Easton Village Hall The Endway Great Easton, Dunmow CM6 2HG

Your chance to have your say on the draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Great Easton

The consultation runs 25 November 2013 to 20 January 2014 For more information call 01799 510670 or take part online at www.uttlesford.gov.uk/gteastoncaa

Appendix 2 – Great Easton consultation form (the council's standard equalities monitoring forms were also made available)

### **Great Easton Conservation Area Appraisal Consultation**

# **Consultation Feedback Form** Comment here on the character analysis of Great Easton village: Comment here on the revised conservation area boundary: Comment here on the buildings that make an important architectural or historical contribution to the conservation area:

If you require this publication in an alternative format and/or language please contact us on 01799 510510

Comment here on the m	anagement propo	sals:	
Any other comments:			

Please send any comments to the Council Offices, London Road, Saffron Walden, CB11 4ER. For further information please ring Bruce Tice, our Project Officer, on 01799 510670 or email <a href="mailto:btice@uttlesford.gov.uk">btice@uttlesford.gov.uk</a> who will be pleased to assist and answer any of your queries.